

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/502,390	07/26/2004	Shigeru Hayakawa	120158	3474	
25944	7590 12/07/2006		EXAMINER		
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			MANAHAN, TODD E		
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3732	<u>-</u>	
			DATE MAILED: 12/07/2006	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/502,3	90	HAYAKAWA ET AL.				
		Examine	r	Art Unit				
		Todd E. N		3732				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	cation appears on th	e cover sheet with the	e correspondence ad	dress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA sisons of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu- period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply very reply received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF TI f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ex nication. utory period will apply and v vill, by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATIO yent, however, may a reply be will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro plication to become ABANDON	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this condition (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	l on						
,	•	·	s action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims				•			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the app	olication.						
• •	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or election	requirement.	•				
Applicati	on Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 July 2004</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)ı	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
				`				
A44	Ma)							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.								
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or I or No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/26/04, 5/24/05</u> .	al Patent Application (PTC)-152)					

10/502,390 Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

Figures 7-9 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

10/502,390 Art Unit: 3732

Claims 1 and 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Privas (United Sates Patent No. 5,417,258) in view of De Laforcade (United Sates Patent No. 6,000,405).

Privas discloses a container comprising a laminated container body 31 including a rigid outer layer 33 and an inner layer 24 delaminatable therefrom and a cap-like member 50 fitted on the neck portion of the container body. The cap-like member includes a pump cylinder 7 depending therefrom, a stem 9 depending into the pump cylinder and having a lower bottom portion to which a cylindrical piston 18 is provided, and a spring which biases the piston upwardly. The container may contain hair care products (see col. 6, lines 56-59). Privas discloses the invention essentially as claimed except for the hollow comb having a shaft and one or a plurality of discharge orifices. De Laforcade discloses a container for hair care products having a hollow comb 35 having a shaft 36 and a discharge orifice 40 affixed thereto. The shaft has a depression rod 21 protruding laterally outwardly from a lower portion thereof. The container includes a dispensing mechanism which may be a pump (see col. 5, lines 61-66). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to form the cap-like member of Privas with a hollow comb having a shaft and discharge orifice in view of De Laforcade in order to provide Better, more even application of the hair care product.

Claims 2 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stone (United Sates Patent No. 4,322,020) in view of De Laforcade (United Sates Patent No. 6,000,405).

Stone discloses a container comprising a double container body 1 including a rigid outer container 6 and an inner container 2 which is shrinkable upon depressurization and a cap-like

member 50 fitted on the neck portion of the container body. The cap-like member includes a pump cylinder depending therefrom, a stem 18 depending into the pump cylinder and having a lower bottom portion to which a cylindrical piston is provided, and a spring which biases the piston upwardly. The container may contain hair care products (see col. 1, lines 13-16). Stone discloses the invention essentially as claimed except for the hollow comb having a shaft and one or a plurality of discharge orifices. De Laforcade discloses a container for hair care products having a hollow comb 35 having a shaft 36 and a discharge orifice 40 affixed thereto. The shaft has a depression rod 21 protruding laterally outwardly from a lower portion thereof. The container includes a dispensing mechanism which may be a pump (see col. 5, lines 61-66). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to form the cap-like member of Stone with a hollow comb having a shaft and discharge orifice in view of De Laforcade in order to provide Better, more even application of the hair care product.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Todd E. Manahan whose telephone number is 571 272- 4713. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Rodriguez can be reached on 571 272-4964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3732

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Todd E. Manahan Primary Examiner Art Unit 3732

T.E. Manahan
1 December 2006